



**"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY**

**DOCTORAL SCHOOL
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL UNIVERSITY STUDY PROGRAM**

**PROCEDURE
FOR THE EVALUATION
OF THE ACTIVITIES AND PERFORMANCE
OF DOCTORAL ADVISORS
AND DOCTORAL STUDENTS**

Bucharest, 2024

Section 1. Legal Basis

Art. 1.

Enrollment in and deregistration in/from the doctoral university study program within the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School are carried out in accordance with the following provisions:

1. Higher Education Law no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions;
2. Framework Regulation regarding doctoral university studies, approved by Order of the Minister of Education (OME) no. 3020/2024;
3. Regulation on the organization and conduct of the doctoral study program in Intelligence and National Security;
4. Regulation on the organization and conduct of the doctoral study program in Intelligence and National Security;
5. Regulation on the organization and operation of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School.

Section 2. Procedure

Art. 2. External Evaluation of the Doctoral School

- (1) The Intelligence and Security Doctoral School is subject to periodic external evaluation every 5 years, in accordance with the legislation in force.
- (2) The external evaluation is based on the performance of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School and the institutional capacity of IOSUD ANIMV.
- (3) The external evaluation of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School is conducted by ARACIS or by another quality assurance agency, either domestic or foreign.
- (4) The Intelligence and Security Doctoral School organizes periodic internal evaluations of doctoral supervisors and doctoral students.
- (5) The evaluation of the ability to act as a doctoral supervisor is carried out in accordance with the standards established by CNATDCU.
- (6) The evaluation is conducted within the process of affiliation with the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School and within the external evaluation process of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School.

Art. 3. Internal Evaluation of Doctoral Students

- (1) The internal evaluation of doctoral students is carried out semi-annually and annually and aims to assess:
 - a) the level of achievement of the objectives of the training program based on advanced university studies;
 - b) the level of achievement of the objectives of the individual scientific/applied research program;
 - c) the level of achievement of the objectives of the complementary program regarding knowledge, skills, responsibility, and autonomy.
- (2) The evaluation of doctoral students' performance is carried out based on self-evaluation and the evaluation performed by the doctoral supervisor.
- (3) For self-evaluation of performance, doctoral students shall complete and submit the following to the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School:
 - a) the Self-Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL01, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the training program based on advanced university studies – deadline: February 15;
 - b) the Self-Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL02, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the individual scientific/applied research program – deadline: July 15;

(c) the Self-Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL03, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the complementary program regarding knowledge, skills, responsibility, and autonomy – deadline: July 15.

(4) For the evaluation of doctoral students' performance by doctoral supervisors, doctoral supervisors shall complete and submit the following to the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School:

a) the Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL04, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the training program based on advanced university studies – deadline: February 15;

b) the Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL05, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the individual scientific/applied research program – deadline: July 15;

c) the Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL06, corresponding to the evaluation of the achievement of the objectives of the complementary program regarding knowledge, skills, responsibility, and autonomy – deadline: July 15.

Art. 4. Internal Evaluation of Doctoral Supervisors

(1) The evaluation of doctoral supervisors is carried out annually and aims to assess:

- a) teaching and scientific research performance, performance in supervising doctoral students, and the level of national/international recognition of doctoral supervisors;
- b) the level, volume, and value of the scientific activities carried out by doctoral supervisors.

(2) The evaluation of doctoral supervisors' performance is conducted based on self-evaluation, evaluation by doctoral students, and evaluation by the Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School.

(3) For self-evaluation of performance, doctoral supervisors shall complete and submit to the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School the Self-Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL07 – deadline: September 15.

4) For the evaluation, by doctoral students, of teaching staff/scientific researchers within the training program based on advanced university studies, the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School shall distribute the online Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL08 – deadline: February 15.

(5) For the evaluation, by doctoral students, of doctoral supervisors and teaching staff/scientific researchers within the individual scientific/applied research program, the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School shall distribute the online Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL09 – deadline: July 15.

(6) For the evaluation, by doctoral supervisors, of doctoral students' performance in the training program based on advanced university studies, the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School shall distribute the online Evaluation Form according to the template in Annex EVL10 – deadline: February 15.

(7) For the evaluation of doctoral supervisors by the Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School, the Director shall complete and submit - to the Secretariat of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School - the Evaluation Form for doctoral supervisors according to the template in Annex EVL11.

Section 3. Final Provisions

Art. 5.

- (1) This Procedure takes effect starting with Semester 1 of the 2024–2025 academic year.
- (2) On the date this Procedure takes effect, any previous provisions are repealed.

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL01

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

CERTIFIED
DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

SELF-EVALUATION FORM
Advanced University Studies-Based Training Program
Semester 1, Academic Year 20xx–20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE ADVANCED UNIVERSITY STUDIES-BASED TRAINING PROGRAM

1. I participated in [8] out of the 8 courses included in the program schedule;
2. I did not participate in [8] out of the 8 courses included in the program schedule because [details shall be specified];
3. I obtained a number of xx ECTS credits by participating in xx **course examinations** included in the program;
4. I assess the frequency of my participation in the courses included in the program schedule as: **[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]**
5. I assess the amount of time dedicated to preparation and individual study for the courses within the program as: **[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]**

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE

I assess my activity within the advanced university studies-based training program as:

[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]

Date: Signature:

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 course = 4 ECTS

SEMESTER EVALUATIONS: VERY GOOD = 32 ECTS; GOOD = 28 ECTS;
SATISFACTORY = 24 ECTS; UNSATISFACTORY ≤ 20 ECTS

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

CERTIFIED
DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD

[First name LAST NAME]

SELF-EVALUATION FORM
Individual Scientific/Applied Research Program
academic year 20xx-20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL SCIENTIFIC/APPLIED RESEARCH PROGRAM:

1. My doctoral supervisor validated xx presentations that I delivered at *Conferences*, and I obtained **xx ECTS credits**.
2. The Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee validated **xx articles** that I published in Publications, and I obtained **xx ECTS credits**.
3. The Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee validated my **Doctoral Research Project**, and I obtained **xx ECTS credits**.
4. The Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee validated **Research Report no. xx**, and I obtained **xx ECTS credits**.
5. The Guidance Committee favorably/unfavorably endorsed the **Doctoral Thesis** that I presented, and I obtained **xx ECTS credits**.
6. I had **xx meetings** (including online interactions: messages, emails, etc.) with my doctoral supervisor.
7. I had **xx meetings** (including online interactions: messages, emails, etc.) with the members of the Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee.

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE: I assess my activity within the individual scientific/applied research program as:
[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]

Date: Signature:

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 ProDoc = 24 ECTS; 1 RefDoc = 24 ECTS; 1 ArtDoc = 20 ECTS; ComDoc = 4 ECTS

ANNUAL EVALUATIONS: VERY GOOD ≥ 60 ECTS; GOOD = 56–32 ECTS; SATISFACTORY = 30 ECTS; UNSATISFACTORY ≤ 28 ECTS

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL03

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

CERTIFIED
DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

SELF-EVALUATION FORM
Complementary Program Regarding Knowledge, Skills,
Responsibility, and Autonomy
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE COMPLEMENTARY PROGRAM REGARDING KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, RESPONSIBILITY, AND AUTONOMY:

1. I participated in [4] out of the 4 complementary modules included in the program schedule;
2. I did not participate in [4] out of the 4 complementary modules included in the program schedule because [details shall be specified];
3. I obtained **xx ECTS** credits by participating in **xx complementary modules** included in the program.

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE: I assess my activity within the complementary program regarding knowledge, skills, responsibility, and autonomy as:**[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]**

Date: Signature:

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 completed module = 6 ECTS; 1 incomplete module = 0 ECTS;

ANNUAL GRADES: VERY GOOD = minimum 18 ECTS; GOOD = minimum 12 ECTS; SATISFACTORY = minimum 6 ECTS; UNSATISFACTORY = 0 ECTS

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL04

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
Advanced University Studies-Based Training Program
Semester 1, Academic Year 20xx–20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE ADVANCED UNIVERSITY STUDIES-BASED TRAINING PROGRAM:

1. I assess the frequency of the doctoral student's participation in the courses included in the program schedule as:
[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]
2. I assess the amount of time that the doctoral student dedicated to preparation and individual study for the courses within the program as:
[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]
3. The doctoral student obtained **xx ECTS** credits by participating in **xx course examinations** included in the program.

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE:

I assess the doctoral student's activity within the advanced university studies-based training program as: **[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]**

Date: _____

DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 course = 4 ECTS;

SEMESTER GRADES: VERY GOOD = 32 ECTS; GOOD = 28 ECTS; SATISFACTORY = 24 ECTS;
UNSATISFACTORY ≤ 20 ECTS

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY

Annex EVL05

INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
Individual Scientific/Applied Research Program
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE INDIVIDUAL SCIENTIFIC/APPLIED RESEARCH PROGRAM:

1. I validated **xx presentations** delivered by the doctoral student at *Conferences*, and the student obtained **xx ECTS** credits.
2. After **xx presentations** before the Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee, **xx articles** published in *Publications* were validated for the doctoral student, and the student obtained **xx ECTS** credits.
3. After **xx presentations** before the Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee, the **Doctoral Research Project** was validated, and the student obtained **xx ECTS** credits.
4. After **xx presentations** before the Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee, **Research Report no. xx** was validated, and the student obtained **xx ECTS** credits.
5. After **xx presentations** before the Academic Guidance and Integrity Committee, the **Doctoral Thesis** presented by the student received a favorable/unfavorable endorsement, and the student obtained **xx ECTS** credits.
6. I had **xx meetings** (including online interactions: messages, emails, etc.) with the doctoral student.
7. I had **xx meetings** (including online interactions: messages, emails, etc.) with the members of the guidance committee.

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE:

I assess the doctoral student's activity within the individual scientific/applied research program as: **[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]**

Date: _____

DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 ProDoc = 24 ECTS; 1 RefDoc = 24 ECTS; 1 ArtDoc = 20 ECTS; ComDoc = 4 ECTS
ANNUAL GRADES: VERY GOOD ≥ 60 ECTS; GOOD = 56–32 ECTS; SATISFACTORY = 30 ECTS;
UNSATISFACTORY ≤ 28 ECTS

**"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY**

Annex EVL06

**INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL**

No. _____ dated _____

**APPROVED
DIRECTOR**
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
**Complementary Program Regarding Knowledge, Skills,
Responsibility, and Autonomy**
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

Doctoral Student: [First name LAST NAME]

**I. ACTIVITY WITHIN THE COMPLEMENTARY PROGRAM REGARDING
KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS, RESPONSIBILITY, AND AUTONOMY:**

1. The doctoral student obtained **xx ECTS** credits by participating in **xx complementary modules** included in the program.

II. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE:

I assess the doctoral student's activity within the complementary program regarding knowledge, skills, responsibility, and autonomy as:

[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]

Date: _____

DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

ECTS EQUIVALENCE: 1 completed module = 6 ECTS; 1 incomplete module = 0 ECTS;

ANNUAL GRADES: VERY GOOD = minimum 18 ECTS; GOOD = minimum 12 ECTS; SATISFACTORY = minimum 6 ECTS; UNSATISFACTORY = 0 ECTS

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
 INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
 DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL07

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
 DIRECTOR
 of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
 Professor, PhD
 [First name LAST NAME]

SELF-EVALUATION FORM
Professor, PhD [First name LAST NAME]
 Academic Year 20xx–20xx

I. TEACHING AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ACTIVITY:

1. Teaching activities conducted within the Advanced University Studies Program:...
2. Teaching activities conducted within the Complementary Program Regarding Knowledge, Skills, Responsibility, and Autonomy:...
3. Teaching activities conducted within other study programs:...
4. Activities conducted within research programs/projects they lead or coordinate:...
5. Activities conducted within other research programs/projects:...
6. Books, monographs, treaties, studies published in recognized and recommended publishing houses:...
7. National/international conferences / scientific events (scientific communication sessions, congresses, symposiums, round tables, etc.): ... :...
8. Grants won through competition:...
9. Scientific research contracts outside the doctoral school:...
10. Other aspects of teaching and research activity:...

II. GUIDANCE OF DOCTORAL STUDENTS:

1. Number of doctoral students under supervision and their status based on annual grades received:...
2. Competition for own places offered in the doctoral admission contest:...
3. Supervision methods used for guiding doctoral students:...
4. Doctoral students taken/transferred under supervision from other supervisors:...
5. Doctoral students proposed for expulsion and the reasons for expulsion:...
6. Conflicts with doctoral students recorded during supervision activities:...
7. Number of doctoral students who publicly defended their doctoral thesis:...
8. Number of doctoral students who repeated the public defense of their doctoral thesis:...
9. Number of doctoral students whose doctoral title was not validated by CNATDCU:...
10. Number of doctoral students who withdrew from the doctoral program

III. NATIONAL/INTERNATIONAL RECOGNITION ACTIVITIES:

1. Invitations to conferences at other universities in the country/abroad:..
2. Citations in national/international reference works:...
3. Scientific awards obtained:...
4. Membership in CSD, CSUD, Senate, Scientific Council, Publishing Council, etc.:...
5. Member of ARACIS in external evaluation committees of universities/programs:...
6. Member of CNATDCU in evaluation committees for habilitation/doctoral files:...
7. Other aspects highlighting national/international recognition:...

IV. CONCLUSION REGARDING ACHIEVEMENTS AND PERFORMANCE:

[UNSATISFACTORY / SATISFACTORY / GOOD / VERY GOOD]

DOCTORAL SUPERVISOR
Professor, PhD

[First name LAST NAME]

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL08

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
 DIRECTOR
 of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
 Professor, PhD
 [First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
by doctoral students
for university faculty and scientific researchers
Advanced University Studies-Based Training Program
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

No.	university faculty / scientific researcher	
	Academic rank First Name LAST NAME	Evaluated person

No.	INDICATORS	EVALUATION SCALE				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Level of rigor in preliminary student selection for admission:					
2.	Content of the discipline taught within the advanced university studies-based training program:					
3.	Level of rigor in attendance verification at courses:					
4.	Concern for guiding the doctoral student in developing the individual training program:					
5.	Level of involvement in scientific supervision:					
6.	Level of ethical education (deontology):					
7.	Level of involvement in ensuring study conditions:					
8.	Efficiency of methods to stimulate progress in the student's research:					
9.	Level of monitoring the scientific and publishing activity of the doctoral student:					

10.	Objectivity in evaluating the student's scientific and publishing activity:					
11.	Concern for informing the student regarding ethics and academic/scientific integrity:					
12.	Rigor in verifying the student's compliance with ethics and academic/scientific integrity:					
13.	Concern for continuous awareness of the student's progress in training:					
14.	Involvement in motivating the student to participate in scientific events:					
15.	Rigor in evaluating scientific research reports:					
16.	Rigor in evaluating the doctoral thesis:					

Please mark, on the evaluation scale, for each indicator, the level you consider most appropriate, based on your own experience – not from comments or opinions, positive or negative, of others.

Meaning of evaluation levels: 1 – UNSATISFACTORY; 2 – SATISFACTORY; 3 – GOOD; 4 – VERY GOOD; 5 – EXCELLENT

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
 INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
 DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL09

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
 DIRECTOR
 of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
 Professor, PhD
 [First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
by doctoral students
for university faculty and scientific researchers
Individual Scientific/Applied Research Program
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

No.	university faculty / scientific researcher	
	Academic rank First Name LAST NAME	Evaluated person

No.	INDICATORS	EVALUATION SCALE				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Concern for guiding the doctoral student in developing the individual scientific/applied research program:					
2.	Level of involvement in scientific/applied supervision:					
3.	Level of ethical education (deontology):					
4.	Level of involvement in ensuring study conditions:					
5.	Efficiency of methods to stimulate progress in the doctoral student's research:					
6.	Level of monitoring the scientific/applied and publishing activity of the doctoral student:					
7.	Objectivity in evaluating the student's scientific/applied and publishing activity:					

8.	Concern for informing the doctoral student regarding ethics and academic/scientific integrity:					
9.	Rigor in verifying the student's compliance with ethics and academic/scientific integrity:					
10.	Concern for continuous awareness of the student's research progress:					
11.	Involvement in motivating the student to participate in scientific events:					
12.	Rigor in evaluating scientific research reports:					
13.	Rigor in evaluating the doctoral thesis:					

Please mark, on the evaluation scale, for each indicator, the level you consider most appropriate, based on your own experience – not from comments or opinions, positive or negative, of others.

Meaning of evaluation levels: 1 – UNSATISFACTORY; 2 – SATISFACTORY; 3 – GOOD; 4 – VERY GOOD; 5 – EXCELLENT

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY

Annex EVL10

INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
DOCTORAL SCHOOL

No. _____ dated _____

APPROVED
DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD
[First name LAST NAME]

EVALUATION FORM
by doctoral students
for university faculty and scientific researchers
Complementary Program Regarding Knowledge, Skills,
Responsibility, and Autonomy (CARA)
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

No.	university faculty / scientific researcher	
	Academic rank First Name LAST NAME	Evaluated person

No.	INDICATORS	EVALUATION SCALE				
		1	2	3	4	5
1.	Concern for guiding the doctoral student in developing the complementary CARA program:					
2.	Level of involvement in supervision specific to the CARA program:					
3.	Level of ethical education (deontology):					
4.	Level of involvement in ensuring conditions specific to the CARA program:					
5.	Efficiency of methods to stimulate progress in the CARA program:					
6.	Level of monitoring the activity within the CARA program:					
7.	Objectivity in evaluating the CARA program activities:					
8.	Concern for informing the doctoral student regarding ethics and academic integrity:					

9.	Concern for continuously tracking progress in the CARA program					
10.	Involvement in motivating the doctoral student to participate in the CARA program:					
11.	Rigor in evaluating the CARA program					

Please mark, on the evaluation scale, for each indicator, the level you consider most appropriate, based on your own experience – not from comments or opinions, positive or negative, of others.

Meaning of evaluation levels: 1 – UNSATISFACTORY; 2 – SATISFACTORY; 3 – GOOD; 4 – VERY GOOD; 5 – EXCELLENT

"MIHAI VITEAZUL"
NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE ACADEMY
 INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY
 DOCTORAL SCHOOL

Annex EVL11

No. _____ dated _____

EVALUATION FORM
of the doctoral supervisor by the
Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Academic Year 20xx–20xx

No.	Doctoral Supervisor	
	Academic Rank	First Name LAST NAME
	Evaluated person	

EVALUATION CRITERIA	EVALUATORS	CONCLUSION OF EVALUATORS
TEACHING ACTIVITY	Doctoral supervisor, through self-evaluation form	
	Doctoral students, through evaluation form	
	Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School	
SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ACTIVITY	Doctoral supervisor, through self-evaluation form	
	Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School	
SUPERVISION OF DOCTORAL STUDENTS	Doctoral supervisor, through self-evaluation form	
	Doctoral students, through evaluation form	
	Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School	
NATIONAL/ INTERNATIONAL RECOGNITION	Doctoral supervisor, through self-evaluation form	
	Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School	
FINAL CONCLUSION by the Director of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School		

UNCLASSIFIED

DIRECTOR
of the Intelligence and Security Doctoral School
Professor, PhD

UNCLASSIFIED